School Vision: Building upon a foundation of social justice and an ethic of care, we are a community of learners actively engaged in the development of critical, transformative knowledge for social work practice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year/Term</th>
<th>2015-2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Course Title</td>
<td>Social Work and Social Justice: Child and Family (3 credits)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course Schedule</td>
<td>Term 1, Wednesdays, 2-5 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course Location</td>
<td>Room 224, Jack Bell Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor</td>
<td>Dr. Edward Kruk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Location</td>
<td>Room 237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Phone</td>
<td>822-2383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-mail address</td>
<td><a href="mailto:edward.kruk@ubc.ca">edward.kruk@ubc.ca</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Hours</td>
<td>Wednesdays, 10 am-2 pm, or by appointment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COURSE DESCRIPTION:

This is a graduate course focused on social justice in the child and family field of practice, with the goal of assisting students in developing a comprehensive social work practice framework consistent with both a human rights and “responsibility-to-needs” orientation to theory, ethical principles and practice skills, in the field of child and family practice. The course will critically examine a range of contemporary social justice issues that have an impact on the lives of children and young people, their caregivers, and their communities. The course emphasizes evidence-based social work and critical thinking to determine what policies, programs and practices are best suited to address the needs of children, families and communities, and is a required core course for students in the child and family stream in the MSW program.

LEARNING OUTCOMES:

- Students will learn to locate themselves in a professional and political context
- Students will develop a social justice framework for social work practice in the child and family field of practice.
- Students will learn about social justice in historical, theoretical and practice contexts by exploring theories of social justice relating to child and family practice from a variety of perspectives, including rights-based and responsibility-based approaches.
- Students will learn to critically examine sociopolitical patterns of power and privilege and the accessibility or lack of access to structures of socioeconomic opportunities.
• Students will reflect upon ways in which cultural assumptions, beliefs, and value systems will enhance or hinder our practice with people of diverse backgrounds. Students will develop and nurture approaches and perspectives to practicing social work with and across differences, and will build a framework for validating human diversity.

• Students will develop an understanding about how to influence public policy in ways that will limit or reverse social inequality. (One of the aims of this course will be to draw attention to the damaging effects of current child and family policies on children and families themselves.)

COURSE STRUCTURE:

This course will be delivered in a combination of lecture, seminar and small group framework. Class members are expected to attend all classes and to come prepared to participate in discussion. Divergent opinions, analyses and experiences related to social justice in a variety of areas of child and family practice will be discussed and debated with openness and respect.

Our goal is not to have students end up with a “correct” position on contentious issues in the child and family field, but to provide a forum toward an open, considered and evidence-based dialogue with respect to matters affecting children and families.

Course Context and Prerequisites:

This course is a required course for students in the advanced year of the M.S.W. program, within the child and family stream.

REQUIRED AND RECOMMENDED READING:

Required:

Journal articles, as listed below. These are in e-journal format, available through the UBC Library, or via Google Scholar.


Recommended:


ASSIGNMENTS:

1. **(Short) Essay on the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child:**
   Students will submit a short essay of 6-8 pages (inclusive of references) with a discussion and analysis of Canada’s “track record” in regard to compliance with one of the Articles of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. Specifically, to what degree do you believe the Article has been contravened by Canadian provincial/territorial and federal governments in their child and family laws and policies? Present evidence and examples to back your claims. (Due Week 7) (20%)

2. **(Long) Essay on a selected topic:**
   Students will submit a 12-16 page essay (inclusive of references) on one of their choice of social justice topics within the child and practice field, which can be either on the topic they presented in class (see 4 below), or on one of the other topics discussed and debated in class. Students are expected to research and critically examine the theoretical and research literature and current debates relating to their topic, and outline their professional position in relation to the topic, with a clear rationale for their orientation based on empirically-supported theory and research. Students will discuss the practice implications of their stance, and identify and discuss how they will deal with potential ethical dilemmas relating to their topic. (Due Week 13) (40%).

3. **(Individual) Class presentation and discussion on a selected social justice theoretical framework:**
   Students will pre-select a social justice theory relating to different approaches to and conceptualizations of social justice in social work. Students will then make an individual class presentation on that model of social justice, and engage the class in a discussion on the merits and limitations of that approach/conceptualization vis-à-vis child and family social work. (Weeks 3-12) (10%).

4. **(Dyad) Class presentation and debate on selected topics in child and family policy and practice:**
   Students will research and critically examine the literature and current debates relating to pre-assigned social justice topics within the fields of child and family policy and practice. Student pairs will then make a class presentation in the form of each student taking one side in a debate related to the topic, and engage in a discussion and debate with their presentation partner, who will speak in favour of the other side of the debate. Debates will focus on the degree to which theories and perspectives are empirically supported by research. (Weeks 3-12) (30%).

---

COURSE POLICIES [attendance, participation, academic dishonesty]:

Excerpt from the UBC calendar:
Regular attendance is expected of students in all their classes (including lectures, laboratories, tutorials, seminars, etc.). Students who neglect their academic work and assignments may be excluded from the final examinations. Students who are unavoidably absent because of illness or disability should report to their instructors on return to classes.

The University accommodates students with disabilities who have registered with the Access and Diversity Resource Centre. The University accommodates students whose religious obligations conflict with attendance, submitting assignments, or completing scheduled tests and examinations. Please let your instructor know in advance,
preferably in the first week of class, if you will require any accommodation on these grounds. Students who plan to
be absent for varsity athletics, family obligations, or other similar commitments, cannot assume they will be
accommodated, and should discuss their commitments with the instructor well before assignment due dates.

It is recommended that students retain a copy of all submitted assignments (in case of loss) and should also retain
all their marked assignments in case they wish to apply for a Review of Assigned Standing. Students have the right
to view their marked examinations with their instructor, providing they apply to do so within a month of receiving their
final grades. This review is for pedagogic purposes. The examination remains the property of the university.

Academic Dishonesty:
Please review the UBC Calendar “Academic Regulations” for the university policy on cheating, plagiarism, and other
forms of academic dishonesty. Also visit www.arts.ubc.ca and go to the students’ section for useful information on
avoiding plagiarism and on correct documentation.

Students wishing to use any electronic devices including computers and recorders must have the permission of the
instructor and must have the wireless capacity of the device turned off during class.

COURSE SCHEDULE

Week 1: September 9, 2015

Defining Social Justice; Social Work as a Human Rights-Based Profession; Rights versus
a Responsibility-to-Needs Approach to Social Justice in Child and Family Practice

Conceptualizing justice and injustice
Justice, power and rights
Retributive versus restorative justice
The “ethic of care”
Critique of rights-based approaches
Social justice as responsibility-to-needs: the essential needs of children and parental and social
institutional responsibilities to those needs; the “best interests of the child from the perspective
of the child”

Required Reading:

International Social Work, 55 (6), 735-748.

Skegg, A. (2005). “Human rights and social work: A western imposition or empowerment to the


Nielsen, L. (2014). “Woozles: Their role in custody law reform, parenting plans, and family
court,” Psychology, Public Policy and Law.

Week 2: September 16, 2015

International Social Justice and Human Rights Legislation; Social Justice and Children’s
Rights: The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child and Related Legislation

Social justice and children’s rights
Parental and social institutional responsibilities to children’s needs
Parental authority and corporal punishment debate
Required Reading:

Universal Declaration on Human Rights

UN Convention on the Rights of the Child


Week 3: September 23, 2015

Social Justice Issues in Child and Family Practice: Topic 1: Abortion–Reproductive Autonomy/Abortion Rights versus the “Right to Life”

Defining “child” and “human life”
Pro-life versus pro-choice debate
Sex selection abortion; disability and abortion

Required Reading:


Week 4: September 30, 2015

Social Justice Issues in Child and Family Practice: Topic 2: New Reproductive Technologies and the Rights of Children to Know their Biological Origins; Same Sex Parenting–Diversity of Family Structures and Family Roles

Defining “parent” and “family”
Rights of children to know their biological origins
New reproductive technologies
“Presumption in favour of the natural”
Traditional and emergent family forms
Same sex parenting

Required Reading:


Regnerus, M. (2012). “How different are the adult children of parents who have same-sex
relationships? Findings from the New Family Structures Study." Social Science Research, 41, 752-770.


**Week 5: October 7, 2015**


Child abuse and neglect
Child and youth mental health (in and out of child welfare care)
Child removal versus family preservation orientation in child protection
Utility of risk assessments
Should social workers have discretion in child protection?

*Required Reading:*


Child, Family and Community Services Act (BC), Part 3—Child Protection

**Week 6: October 14, 2015**

**Social Justice Issues in Child and Family Practice: Topic 4–Adoption**

Open versus closed adoption; international adoption
Reunification of children and biological parents following adoption

*Required Reading:*


**Week 7: October 21, 2015**


Anti-Black and anti-Native racism in Canadian child welfare
Anti-oppressive versus anti-colonialism perspectives on child welfare reform

*Required Reading:*


Week 8: October 28, 2015


Family violence; violence against women; violence against men
Child exposure to family violence; child protection and family violence

*Required Reading:*


Week 9: November 4, 2015

Social Justice Issues in Child and Family Practice: Topic 7–Child Custody, Access and Parenting After Divorce I

Discretionary “best interests of the child” standard: Should judges have discretion in child custody disputes? Should the discretionary “best interests of the child” standard be retained or should a rebuttable presumption of shared parental responsibility be legislated?
Primary caregiver presumption

*Required Reading:*


Week 10: November 11, 2015

Social Justice Issues in Child and Family Practice: Topic 7–Child Custody, Access and Parenting After Divorce II

Egalitarian parenting after divorce; rebuttable presumption of shared parental responsibility

*Required Reading:*


Week 11: November 18, 2015

Social Justice Issues in Child and Family Practice: Topic 8–Child Care Policy and Practice

Egalitarian parenting in two-parent families
Arguments for and against universal government-subsidized child care
Should family allowance direct payments “flow with the child”?

*Required Reading:*


**Week 12: November 25, 2015**

**Social Justice Issues in Child and Family Practice: Topic 9–Income Security for Children and Families**

Child poverty
Alternative approaches to income security, including living wage and guaranteed annual income

*Required Reading:*


**Week 13: December 2, 2015**

**Other Social Justice Issues in Child and Family Social Work**

**Review of Course**

Consumer culture and corporatization of childhood; sexualization of children; routinization of children’s lives and the “right to play”
Children of war
Practice and policy implications

*Required Reading:*


**ASSESSMENT, GRADING, & EVALUATION:**

Submitting Assignments-
Students can submit assignments in class or drop off to the reception counter in the main office. Items will be date-stamped and distributed to my mailbox.
Return of marked student assignments -
Instructors coordinate the return of marked assignments. The options are as follows: a) the instructor returns the paper to students in class; b) if the paper has been submitted electronically, the instructor will mark it on-line and return to the student on-line; c) the instructor returns the paper to the student by post (the student provides a self-stamped, addressed envelope to the instructor). Marked papers not returned by any of the options above will be held by the instructor. Marked papers will not be put in a box outside the instructor’s office or at the main office counter.

Late assignments-
Deadlines for the assignment of grades for grading purposes are established on the basis of the university schedule to assure submission of marks to the registrar’s office. In accordance with university policy, a deadline may be extended only in the event of serious illness or domestic affliction documented by the student's physician and approved by Student Health Services, or for reasons of religious observance.

Students who submit assignments late will have one mark deducted per day late from the final grade for the course.

GRADING CRITERIA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Letter Grade</th>
<th>Percent Range</th>
<th>Mid-Point</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+</td>
<td>90-100</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Represents work of exceptional quality. Content, organization and style are all at a high level. Student demonstrates excellent research and reference to literature where appropriate. Also, student uses sound critical thinking, has innovative ideas on the subject and shows personal engagement with the topic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>85-89</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>80-84</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>76-79</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>Represents work of good quality with no major weaknesses. Writing is clear and explicit and topic coverage and comprehension is more than adequate. Shows some degree of critical thinking and personal involvement in the work. Good use of existing knowledge on the subject.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>72-75</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>68-71</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>64-67</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>Adequate and average work. Shows fair comprehension of the subject, but has some weaknesses in content, style and/or organization of the paper. Minimal critical awareness or personal involvement in the work. Adequate use of literature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>60-63</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Minimally adequate work, barely at a passing level. Serious flaws in content, organization and/or style. Poor comprehension of the subject, and minimal involvement in the paper. Poor use of research and existing literature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0-49</td>
<td></td>
<td>Failing work. Inadequate for successful completion of the course or submitted beyond final date of acceptance for paper.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>